

# NEW MEXICO ENVIRONMENT DEPARTMENT

Harold Runnels Building 1190 South St. Francis Drive (87505) P.O. Box 5469, Santa Fe, NM 87502-5469 Phone (505) 827-0187 Fax (505) 827-0160 www.env.nm.gov



BUTCH TONGATE Cabinet Secretary

J. C. BORREGO Deputy Secretary

#### Certified Mail - Return Receipt Requested

June 7, 2017

Mr. Joshua Orosco, CRRUA Board Chairman CRRUA Sunland Park P.O. Box 429 Sunland Park, New Mexico 88063

Re: CRRUA Sunland Park Wastewater Treatment Plant; MSGP; SIC 4952; Compliance Evaluation Inspection; NPDES Permit No. NMR053329; May 11, 2017

Dear Mr. Joshua Orosco:

Enclosed please find a copy of the report and check list for the referenced inspection that the New Mexico Environment Department (NMED) conducted at your facility on behalf of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA). This inspection report will be sent to the USEPA in Dallas for their review. These inspections are used by USEPA to determine compliance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitting program in accordance with requirements of the federal Clean Water Act.

You are encouraged to review the inspection report, required to correct any problems noted during the inspection, and advised to modify your operational and/or administrative procedures, as appropriate. If you have comments on or concerns with the basis for the findings in the NMED inspection report, please contact us (see the address below) in writing within 30 days from the date of this letter. Further, you are encouraged to notify in writing both the USEPA and NMED regarding modifications and compliance schedules at the addresses below:

NPDES Enforcement Coordinator Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6 NPDES Enforcement Branch (6EN-WM) 1445 Ross Avenue, Suite 1200 Dallas, Texas 75202-2733 Program Manager New Mexico Environment Department Surface Water Quality Bureau (N2050) Point Source Regulation Section P.O. Box 5469 Santa Fe, New Mexico 87502

#### CRRUA Sunland Park WWTP, NPDES # NMR053329 May 11, 2017 Page 2 of 2

David Long is USEPA Region 6's Acting NPDES Enforcement Coordinator at the above address. If you have any questions about this inspection report, please contact Jennifer Foote at (505)827-0596 or at Jennifer.Foote@state.nm.us.

Sincerely,

/s/ Sarah Holcomb

Sarah Holcomb Program Manager Point Source Regulation Section Surface Water Quality Bureau

cc: Carol Peters-Wagnon, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Long, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Robert Houston, USEPA (6EN)
Darlene Whitten-Hill, USEPA (6EN) by e-mail Amy Andrews, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail David Esparza, USEPA (6EN-WM) by e-mail Michael Kesler, NMED District III by e-mail Carlos Arellano, CRRUA by email

Form Approved OMB No. 2040-0003 Approval Expires 7-31-85



#### **NPDES Compliance Inspection Report**

	Section A: National Data System Coding																															
	Trans	saction	1 Code				•		_		NPD	ES								у	r/mo/d	ay	•		Ins	spec. T	ype	Iı	specto	or	Fac Тур	e
1	N	2	5	3	N	N	М	R	0	5	3	3	2	9	1	1	12	1	7	0	5	1	1	17	18	~		19	S	20	1	
1	Ī		1		ı	ı	Ī			I	I	ı	i	1	ı		Remar I	1	ı	Ī	Ī	Ī	ı	ı	1	I	ı	ı	1	ı		
L	S	E	С	T	O	R	₹		T		T	R	E	A	Т	'	M	Е	N	T		W	0	R	K	S						
Inspection Work Days Facility Evaluation Rating BI						Ī	QA	I	ı	 	   _ ,		 I	-Reserv	/ed	 I	 	 I	 L													
67 69 70 2 71 N 72						N	73	<u> </u>		74	75	<u> </u>						80														
														9	Sectio	n l	B: Fa	cility	Data													
					-				ind	ustrial i	isers d	ischarg	ging	to PO	TW, a	ilso	inclu	de		try Tin						Pen	mit E	fectiv	e Date			
	POTW name and NPDES permit number) 9:10 am/5-11-17 6-4-2015																															
Camino Real Regional Utility Authority (CRRUA) Sunland Park WWTP  1000 McNutt Road  Exit Time/Date  Permit Expiration Date																																
		ark, N Coun	M 880	53															4:40	0pm/5-	-11-17					6-4-2	2020					
Nam	e(s) c	f On-	Site Re						Pho	ne and	Fax Nu	mber(	s)												Oth	er Fac	ility [	ata				
	Carlos Arellano, Operator, 575-647-7142 Sergio Ruiz, Lab Technician, 575-647-7142 SIC: 4952																															
	Name, Address of Responsible Official/Title/Phone and Fax Number  GPS @ Outfall 001:  N. 31.7972																															
	Contacted W -106.5569																															
	Joshua Orosco CRRUA Board Chairman, 575-589-1075 Camino Real Regional Utility Authority (CRRUA) Sunland Park WWTP Yes No x																															
		lutt Ro ark. N	oad M 880	63																		•		•								
	Section C: Areas Evaluated During Inspection $(S = Satisfactory, M = Marginal, U = Unsatisfactory, N = Not Evaluated)$																															
S	Per	mit						ľ	N	Flow	Measu	remen	t				N	Op	eratio	ns & l	Maint	enanc	e		N	CSO/SSO						
U	Rec	cords	Repor	ts				U	ſ	Self-	Monit	oring l	Prog	ram			N	Slı	ludge Handling/Disposal N Pollution Prevention													
N	Fac	ility S	Site Re	view				N	ĺ	Com	pliance	Sche	dule	6			N	Pi	retreatment N				N	Multimedia								
N	Eff	luent	Receiv	ing '	Wate	rs		N	Į	Labo	ratory						S	Sto	orm V	Vater					N	Othe	r:					
									5	Section	D: Su	mmar	y of	Findi	ıgs/C	om	ıment	s (Att	ach a	dditio	nal sh	eets if	nece	ssary)								
																															of the	
	•		-							to M		_																				
										also y. An																		ie SV	V PP	for	review	,
	Ū								,		CAIL		, 10 ,	, ,,,	<b>. .</b> .		acto	<b>u</b> 00.	.010		юрсч	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	аср				·					
2.5	see a	ttacl	ned sł	neet	s fo	r fu	ırth	er d	eta	ils.																						
Nan	ie(s)	and S	ignatu	re(s)	of In	ispe	ctor	r(s)					A	gency/	Offic	e/T	Геlерŀ	none/l	ax							Date	e					
Jenn	ifer I	oote	/s/ Jen	nife	r Foo	ote							N.	MED/	<u>sw</u> Q	В	505-82	<u> 27-05</u>	96							6-7-	-17					
Sign	atur	e of M	anage	men	t OA	Rev	view	er					A	gency	/Offi	ce/	Phone	e and	Fax N	Numbe	ers					Dat	e					
_									/ C	uah II.	1001			-												6-7-						
Sara	arah Holcomb, Program Manager /s/ Sarah Holcomb   NMED/SWQB 505-827-2798   6-7-17										ісоть																					

<u>National</u>	Database Information	<u>General</u>			
Inspection Type	Compliance Eval	uation	Inspector Name	Jennifer Foote	
NPDES ID Number	NMR053329	9	Telephone	505-827-0596	
Inspection Date	5/11/17		Entry Time	9:10am	
Inspector Type (circle one)	□EPA ⊠State	□EPA Oversight	Exit Time	4:40pm	
Facility Sector/ SIC/Activity Code	Sector T Treatment SIC 4952	Signature	/s/ Jennifer Foote		

Facility Location Information										
Name/Location/ Mailing Address	<u> </u>	gional Utility Authority ad Sunland Park, NM	v (CRRUA) Sunland Pa 88063	ark WWTP						
GPS Coordinates	Latitude	31.7972	Longitude	-106.5569						
Receiving Water(s) Rio Grande in Water Quality Segment 20.6.4.101 NMAC										

Contact Information									
	Name(s)	Telephone							
Name(s) and Role(s) of All Parties Meeting the Definition of Operator	Joshua Orozco, CRRUA Board Chairman	575-589-1075							
Facility Contact	Carlos Arelliano	575-647-7142							
Authorized Official(s)	Joshua Orozco	575-589-1075							

Basic Permit	<u>Information</u>	Basic SWPPP Information			
Permit Coverage	⊠Y	□N	SWPPP Prepared & Available ⊠ Y	□N	
Permit Type	⊠ General □ Individual		SWPPP Contents Satisfactory	⊠N	
Operational Date	Feb 2012 (for this oper		SWPPP Implementation	⊠N	
NOI/Application Date	12/2/2015		SWPPP Date August 20	012	
If applicable, is no exposure certification on file?	□Ү	□N ⊠N/A			

SWPPP Review							
<u>General</u>			Notes:				
Was the SWPPP completed prior to NOI submission?	⊠ Y	□ N	SWPPP prepared in August 2012 and has not been updated.				
Copy of the NOI and acknowledgment letter from EPA?	Y	⊠ N					
Copy of the permit language?	Y	⊠ N					
Have copies of inspection reports/all other documentation been retained as part of the SWPPP for 3 years from date permit coverage expires?	□ Y	□ N	N/A				
Does the SWPPP contain a signed/certified statement indicating that the site is inactive and unstaffed, and that there are no industrial materials or activities exposed to precipitation, in accordance with the substantive requirements in 40 CFR 122.26(g)(4)(iii)?  Applicable to:  Routine facility inspection (4.1.3)  Quarterly visual assessment (4.2.3)  Benchmark monitoring (6.2.1.3).	Y	□ <b>Z</b>	N/A				
Does the SWPPP include copies of relevant parts of other documents (e.g., SPCC) referenced in the SWPPP?	□ Y	□ N	N/A				
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Endangered Species Act?	⊠ Y	□ N					
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under the Historic Preservation Act?	× Y	□ N					
Does the SWPPP include documentation to support eligibility under NEPA (New Source)?	Y	□ N	N/A				
Did all "operators" sign/certify the SWPPP?	× Y	□ N					
Is the storm water pollution prevention team identified (name or title)?	Y	⊠ N	2 of 3 people identified as storm water team are no longer at facility.				
Are the storm water pollution prevention team's responsibilities identified?	× Y	□ N					

Site Description			Notes:
SWPPP provides a description of the facility's industrial activities?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Is there a general location map (e.g., USGS quadrangle map) with enough detail to identify the location of the facility and all receiving waters for storm water discharges?	× Y	□ <b>N</b>	
Is there a site specific site map?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain the size of the property in acres?	X Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain the location and extent of significant structures and impervious surfaces?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain directions of storm water flow (indicated by arrows)?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations of all existing structural control measures?	⊠ Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations of all receiving waters in the immediate vicinity of the facility, indicating if any of the waters are impaired, and if so, whether the waters have TMDLs established for them?	⊠ Y	□ N	TMDL not on map, but described in plan
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water conveyances including ditches, pipes and swales?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations of all potential pollutants and significant materials identified under Part 5.1.3.2?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations where significant spills or leaks identified under Part 5.1.3.3 have occurred?	Y	□ N	N/A. SWPPP and operator reports no significant spills or leaks have occurred.
Does the site map contain locations of all storm water monitoring points?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain locations of storm water inlets and outfalls, with a unique identification (e.g., 001, 002) for each outfall and if substantially identical?	× Y	□ N	
Does the site map contain municipal separate storm sewers and where the facility discharges to them?	Y	□ N	N/A
Does the site map contain locations and descriptions of all non-storm water discharges?	Y	□ N	N/A

	Site Description			Notes:
the	es the site map contain locations of following activities where these ivities are exposed to precipitation?	Y	N	
•	Fueling stations			
•	Vehicle and equipment maintenance and/or cleaning areas			
•	Loading/unloading areas			
•	Locations used for the treatment, storage or disposal of wastes			
•	Liquid storage tanks			
•	Processing and storage areas			
•	Immediate access roads and rail lines used or travelled by carriers of raw materials, manufactured products, waste materials, or byproducts used or created by the facility			
•	Transfer areas for substances in bulk			
•	Machinery			
sou adj	es the site map contain locations and irces of run-on to the site from acent property that contains nificant quantities of pollutants?	× Y	□ N	
fac act and	es the SWPPP document areas at the illity where industrial materials or ivities are exposed to storm water if from which allowable non-storm ter discharges are released?	□ Y	□ N	N/A
ind wa fue	es the SWPPP include a list of the ustrial activities exposed to storm ter (e.g., material storage; equipment ling, maintenance, and cleaning; ting steel beams)?	× Y	□ N	
pol	es the SWPPP include a list of lutants and/or pollutant constituents cociated with each identified activity?	× Y	□ N	
dod	es the SWPPP include cumentation of where spills and leaks curred for three years prior to the paration of the SWPPP?	□ <b>Y</b>	□ N	N/A. SWPPP reports no significant spills or leaks have occurred.

Site Description			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include a non-storm water discharge evaluation in the SWPPP? Does it include:  Date  Description of evaluation criteria  List of the outfalls or onsite drainage points directly observed  Different types of non-storm water discharges and source locations  Actions taken such as a list of control measures for elimination.	□ Y	⊠ N	The five outfalls were evaluated 4-17-2012.  Non-stormwater discharges must be re-evaluated under the new 2015 Permit.
Does salt storage occur at this facility?	□ Y	⊠ N	
Does the SWPPP include a summary of storm water sampling data for the previous permit term?	□ Y	⊠ N	
Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include documentation of the location and type of control measures at the facility to comply with the requirements in Part 2?	□ Y	×	Plan states that certain facility improvements would be installed by September 2013. Work included improving the berm to retain all discharges that may contain runoff from exposed material areas for a 100 year storm and removing outfalls 02 and 03. The Rio Grande is less than 500 feet away and impaired for Ecoli and any spills of sludge or grit water could provide a source of pollutants.  There was no documentation that work had been done.
Does the SWPPP include documentation that selection and design of control measures were based on a consideration of the practices and procedures in Part 2.1.1?	× Y	□ Z	
Does the SWPPP include measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff by either locating these industrial materials and activities inside or protecting them with storm resistant coverings?	× Y	Z	
Does the SWPPP include good housekeeping measures (e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)?	×	Z	

Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for pickup and disposal of wastes and routine inspections of tanks and drums?	Y	⊠ N	
Does the SWPPP include preventative maintenance procedures, including regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line?	× Y	□ N	
Does the SWPPP include a schedule for preventative maintenance procedures?	Y	⊠ N	Plan states it will be developed by CRRUA
Does the SWPPP include procedures for minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur?	×	□ <b>N</b>	
Does the facility implement procedures for plainly labeling containers (e.g., "Used Oil," "Spent Solvents," "Fertilizers and Pesticides," etc.) that could be susceptible to spillage or leakage to encourage proper handling and facilitate rapid response if spills or leaks occur?	×	□ N	Fuel tank was removed, other fluids are stored in maintenance building on containment pallet.
Does the facility implement preventative measures such as barriers between material storage and traffic areas, secondary containment provisions, and procedures for material storage and handling?	×	□ N	
Does the facility implement procedures for expeditiously stopping, containing, and cleaning up leaks, spills, and other releases?	× Y	□ N	
Does the facility train employees who may cause, detect, or respond to a spill or leak in these procedures and have necessary spill response equipment available?	Y	⊠ N	No records of training.
Does the facility document and follow procedures for notification of appropriate facility personnel, emergency response agencies, and regulatory agencies?	Y	□ N	N/A

Controls to Reduce Pollutants			Notes:
Does the SWPPP document erosion and sediment controls?	× Y	□ <b>N</b>	
Does the facility stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, and the resulting discharge of pollutants?	Y	×	Plan states that certain facility improvements would be installed by September 2013. There was no documentation that work had been done.
Does the facility place flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels where necessary to reduce erosion and/or settle out pollutants?	Y	⊠ N	Plan states that certain facility improvements would be installed by September 2013. There was no documentation that work had been done.
If the facility stores salt at this facility, are the piles enclosed or covered? Does the facility implement appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile?	Y	N	N/A
Employee Training – is there a schedule for regular (at least annually) employee training?	□ Y	⊠ N	No training has occurred.
Does training cover both the specific control measures used to achieve the effluent limits in Part 2 and monitoring, inspection, planning, reporting, and documentation requirements in other parts of the permit?	□ Y	× N	
Does the facility ensure that waste, garbage, and floatable debris are not discharged to receiving waters by keeping exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged?	⊠ Y	□ Z	
Does the facility minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials?	× Y	□ N	
Has the facility eliminated non-storm water discharges not authorized by an NPDES permit?	× Y	□ N	

Inspections (Part 4)						
<u>General</u>			Notes:			
Routine Facility Inspections						
Are routine facility inspections conducted at least quarterly while facility operating?		⊠ N	No inspections have been conducted.			
<ul> <li>Are inspections documented, including:</li> <li>Date and time</li> <li>Name and signature of inspector</li> <li>Weather information and a description of discharge occurring at the time of the inspection</li> <li>Previously unidentified discharges from site</li> <li>Control measures needing maintenance or repairs</li> <li>Failed control measures that need replacement</li> </ul>	□ Y	Z				
<ul><li>Incidents of noncompliance observed</li><li>Additional control measures needed.</li></ul>						
Exceptions, including (see 4.1.3):  • Inactive and unstaffed sites	Y	□ <b>N</b>	N/A			
Quarterly Visual Assessment						
Are quarterly visual assessments conducted?	□ Y	⊠ N	No quarterly visual assessments have been conducted.			
Does the assessment consist of a sample collected:						
Within the first 30 minutes of discharge						
<ul> <li>On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge</li> </ul>	Y	N				
<ul> <li>Collected in a clean, clear glass or plastic container.</li> </ul>						

Ins	Inspections					
Are assessments documented, including:						
•	Sample location			A daily rainfall record is kept.		
•	Sample collection date/time & visual assessment date/time			A daily failliail record is kept.		
•	Personnel collecting sample & performing assessment and their signature					
•	Nature of the discharge (runoff or snowmelt)	□ Y	N			
•	Results of observations (including color, odor, clarity, floating solids, settled solids, suspended solids, foam, oil sheen and other obvious indicators)					
•	Probable sources of contamination					
•	If applicable, reason for not taking samples within 1 <sup>st</sup> 30 minutes.					
Ex	ceptions, including (see 4.2.3):					
•	Adverse weather conditions					
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff					
•	Areas subject to snow	Y	N			
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)	ī				
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.					
Comprehensive Site Inspections						
Are comprehensive site inspections conducted annually (start 9/29/08)?		□ Y	⊠ N			
Conducted by qualified personnel including						
at least one member of the storm water		Y	N			
pollution prevention team?		'	. •			
Co	ver all areas of the facility?					
Landard and a service of the service		Υ	Ν			
Include a review of monitoring data? Do inspectors consider the results of the past year's visual and analytical monitoring when planning and conducting inspections?		□ Y	⊠ N			

Inspections			
Inc	lude observations of the following:		
•	Industrial materials, residue, or trash that may have or could come into contact with storm water		
•	Leaks or spills from industrial equipment, drums, tanks, and other containers		
•	Offsite tracking of industrial or waste materials, or sediment where vehicles enter or exit the site	□ Y	⊠ N
•	Tracking or blowing of raw, final, or waste materials from areas of no exposure to exposed areas		
•	Control measures needing replacement, maintenance, or repair		
•	All storm water control measures observed.		
Are	e inspections documented, including:		
•	Date of inspection		
•	Names and titles of personnel making the inspection		
•	Findings from examination of areas of facility from Part 4.3.1		
•	All observations relating to implementation of control measures	□ Y	⊠ N
•	Any required revisions to the SWPPP resulting from inspection	ľ	IN
•	Any incidents of noncompliance identified OR certification that facility is in compliance with the permit		
•	A statement signed in accordance with Appendix B, Subsection 11		

Monitoring (Part 6)				
<u>General</u>			Notes:	
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for conducting sector (and co-located) specific benchmark monitoring?		N	N/A	
Does the SWPPP contain procedures for conducting effluent limitations guidelines monitoring?		N	N/A	
Does the SWPPP contain a procedure for other monitoring (state or tribal specific; impaired waters; other as required)		<b>Z</b>	N/A	
Are samples analyzed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 136 methods?		<b>Z</b>	N/A	
Benchmark Monitoring				
Does the monitoring consist of a sample collected:  Within the first 30 minutes of discharge  On discharges that occur at least 72 hours (3 days) from the previous discharge  Document the date and duration (in hours) of the rainfall event, rainfall total (snow - date only) for that rainfall  Prior to commingling.		Z	N/A	
Is monitoring conducted during each of the first four full quarterly (calendar) monitoring periods following permit coverage?		N	N/A	
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples < the parameter benchmark?		□ N	N/A	

Мс	Monitoring					
Is the average of the first four quarterly samples > the parameter benchmark?						
•	Make the necessary modifications					
•	Continue quarterly monitoring					
•	Determine and document that no further pollutant reductions are technologically available and economically practicable and achievable, continue monitoring once per year, notify EPA	Y	N	N/A		
•	Natural background pollutant level documentation					
Ex	ceptions, including (see 6.1 & 6.2):					
•	Adverse weather conditions					
•	Climates with irregular storm water runoff			N/A		
•	Snowmelt	Υ	Ν	19/74		
•	Substantially identical outfalls (per 5.1.5.2)					
•	Inactive and unstaffed sites.					
Eff	luent Limitations Monitoring					
Sampled once per year?		□ Y	Z	N/A		
Follow-up requirements if discharge exceeds effluent limit (see 6.3)?		Y	Z	N/A		
Ot	her Required Monitoring					
•	State or Tribal provisions		]			
•	Discharges to impaired waters	Y	N	N/A		
•	Additional monitoring required by EPA.	I	14			
Re	porting (Part 7)					
	General Notes:					
Is monitoring data reported to EPA within 30 days of receiving analytical results for the monitoring period?		□ Y	□ <b>N</b>	N/A		
Is the annual report submitted by 45 days after conducting the comprehensive site inspection?		Y	⊠ N	No annual report submitted.		
If follow-up effluent limitations monitoring results exceed numeric limits, was a report submitted to EPA no later than 30 days after results were received?		Y	□ <b>N</b>	N/A		

SWPPP Implementation	SWPPP Implementation					
Measures to minimize the exposure of manufacturing, processing, and material storage areas (including loading and unloading, storage, disposal, cleaning, maintenance, and fueling operations) to rain, snow, snowmelt, and runoff	(e.g., use grading, berming, or curbing to prevent runoff of contaminated flows and divert run-on away; locate materials, equipment, and activities so that leaks are contained in existing containment and diversion systems; clean up spills and leaks promptly using dry methods (e.g., absorbents) to prevent the discharge of pollutants; use drip pans and absorbents under or around leaky vehicles and equipment or store indoors where feasible; use spill/overflow protection equipment; drain fluids from equipment and vehicles prior to on-site storage or disposal; perform all cleaning operations indoors, under cover, or in bermed areas that prevent runoff and run-on and also that capture any overspray; and ensure that all washwater drains to a proper collection system)  Some items such as fluids are stored indoors on pallets. Rusty manhole/valve covers were located on the ground on the northeast side of the site.  The sludge belt press is partially covered and trucks used to transport the sludge are parked in an area that drains to the headworks.  Site has several storm drains which may allow an overflow from the temporarily repiped grit drain to run off from the facility.					
Good Housekeeping	(e.g., keeping all exposed areas that are potential sources of pollutants clean, using such measures as sweeping at regular intervals, keeping materials orderly and labeled, and storing materials in appropriate containers)  In general the site was orderly.					
Preventative maintenance	(e.g., regular inspections, testing, maintenance, and repair of all industrial equipment and systems, and control measures, and back-up practices should a runoff event occur while a control measure is off-line)  No records of maintenance were in the SWPPP Plan. It was difficult to locate the outfalls due to sediment and vegetation buildup.					

SWPPP Implementatio	SWPPP Implementation						
Spill Prevention and Response	(e.g., minimizing the potential for leaks, spills and other releases that may be exposed to storm water and develop plans for effective response to such spills if or when they occur)  Spill procedures and response are discussed in SWPPP. Operator stated no spill events had occurred.						
Erosion and Sediment Controls	(e.g., stabilize exposed areas and contain runoff using structural and/or non-structural control measures to minimize onsite erosion and sedimentation, flow velocity dissipation devices at discharge locations and within outfall channels)  Plan states that certain facility improvements would be installed by September 2013. There was no documentation that work had been done. Site is generally flat and dry, no significant erosion noted.						
Management of Runoff	(e.g., divert, infiltrate, reuse, contain, or otherwise reduce storm water runoff, to minimize pollutants in discharges)  Plan states that certain facility improvements would be installed by September 2013. Work included improving the berm to retain all discharges that may contain runoff from exposed material areas for a 100 year storm and removing outfalls 02 and 03. The Rio Grande is less than 500 feet away and impaired for Ecoli and any spills of sludge or grit water could provide a source of pollutants.  There was no documentation that work has been done.						
Salt Storage Piles	(e.g., enclose or cover piles appropriate measures (e.g., good housekeeping, diversions, containment) to minimize exposure resulting from adding to or removing materials from the pile)  N/A						

SWPPP Implementation						
Waste, Garbage and Floatable Debris	(e.g., keep exposed areas free of such materials or by intercepting them before they are discharged)  In general the site was orderly.					
Evidence of non- storm water discharges	No non-stormwater discharges were observed on the day of the inspection.  However, if a spill or overflow were to occur where the grit drain has been temporarily reconfigured, the influent could discharge to the nearby storm drain.					
Dust Generation and Vehicle Tracking of Industrial Materials	(minimize generation of dust and off-site tracking of raw, final, or waste materials)					
	Access road is unpaved, no tracking of sludge noted.					